

1 MARIO D. VALENCIA  
2 Nevada Bar No. 6154  
3 1055 Whitney Ranch Dr., Ste. 220  
Henderson, NV 89014  
4 T. (702) 940-2222  
F. (702) 940-2220  
*Counsel for Ivan Dominguez*

5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7  
8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IVAN DOMINGUEZ,	)	2:12-cv-01609-APG-NJK
	)	
Petitioner,	)	
	)	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR
v.	)	EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A
	)	RESPONSE TO RESPONDENTS'
BRIAN E. WILLIAMS, <i>et al.</i> ,	)	MOTION TO DISMISS PETITIONER'S
	)	FIRST-AMENDED PETITION
Respondents.	)	(Second Request)
	)	

---

Pursuant to Rule 12 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases In The United States District Courts, Rule 6(b)(1)(A) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, LR 6-1, and LR 6-2, petitioner Ivan Dominguez moves for a 4-day extension of time, up to and including Friday, February 7, 2014, to file a response to respondents' Motion to Dismiss Petitioner's First-Amended Petition (CR 34).

This is Dominguez's second request for an extension of time to file a response to the motion to dismiss the first-amended petition. The response is currently due February 3, 2014. If this unopposed motion is granted, a response will be due Friday, February 7, 2014. *See* FRCP 6(a)(1)(C).

Counsel for respondents has no objection to this request for a an extension of time.

Reasons for the Extension

Counsel for Dominguez was sick for several days last week. He had to file two appellate briefs in the Ninth Circuit between January 21 and January 31, 2014, and he has another appeal

1 brief that must be filed this Wednesday, February 5, 2014. He has a doctor's appointment  
2 tomorrow that will take away from the office and work for most of the day. Nevertheless,  
3 counsel has been diligently working on preparing an appropriate response to the motion to  
4 dismiss, but there are many issues that must be addressed in the response that are relevant to the  
5 arguments made in the motion to dismiss, many of which have required extensive research and  
6 analysis. Counsel, however, will get the response filed no later than this Friday, February 7,  
7 2014.

8 This motion is unopposed. Counsel for respondents has no objection to the request for  
9 more time.

10 Conclusion

11 For these reasons, Dominguez respectfully requests the Court grant this unopposed  
12 motion and give him until Friday, February 7, 2014 to file a response to respondents' motion to  
13 dismiss the first-amended petition.

14 DATED: February 3, 2014.

16 s/ Mario D. Valencia  
17 MARIO D. VALENCIA  
18 Counsel for Mr. Dominguez

19 IT IS SO ORDERED:



20  
21  
22 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

23 Dated: February 5, 2014